# Bounded Saturation Based CTL Model Checking

#### András Vörös, Dániel Darvas

Budapest University of Technology and Economics, Budapest, Hungary Fault Tolerant Systems Research Group

#### Tamás Bartha

MTA SZTAKI, Budapest, Hungary Computer and Automation Research Institute





Budapest University of Technology and Economics Department of Measurement and Information Systems

## Contents

- I. Background Model checking
- II. Overview of saturation
- III. Bounded model checking (BMC)
- IV. How saturation and BMC can work together?
- V. Measurements and conclusion





# Formal methods

- Safety critical and embedded systems
  - Railway, automotive industry, air transportation
  - Reliability is an important issue
- Design time analysis:



Does my system work well?
Does it provide services properly?
Mathematically sound answer



# Model checking

#### Automatic verification method



#### Prerequisite:

Exploring and representing the reachable states

Problem:

State space explosion

Time and space requirements



## Saturation algorithm

- Efficient solution for:
  - State space generation
  - Model checking
- Symbolic algorithm
  - Encoding of states
  - Special underlying data structures
    - Multi-valued decision diagrams (MDDs)
- Special iteration strategy
  - Efficient for asynchronous models





## Overview of the saturation workflow

Model of the system

# Decomposition and ordering



State space exploring

**Model checking** 



## **Multi-valued Decision Diagrams**

#### Derived from decision trees

variables are ordered into levels





# Multi-valued Decision Diagrams

- Derived from decision trees
  - variables are ordered into levels
- Special reduction rules
  - in a bottom-up fashion, applying reduction from level-to-levels
- Compact representation of multi valued functions





# Symbolic algorithm

- Symbolic encoding instead of explicit state representation
  - Decomposition is needed
- Saturation uses componentwise encoding





# Special iteration

- Local exploration in a greedy manner
- Exploring global synchronization events if needed
- Uses the primarily defined order of the decision diagram variable encoding





#### **Bounded saturation**





## Motivation for bounded model checking







## Motivation for bounded model checking







# Motivation for bounded model checking



#### **Bounded model checking (BMC)**

- explores a k-bounded part of the state space (usually in a breadth first manner)
- examines the specification on this smaller part





# **Problems with Bounded Saturation**

- Main problems with bounded saturation:
  - Saturation explores the state space in an irregular recursive order
    - $\Rightarrow$  **Difficult to limit** the exploration

#### • There is **no distance information** in the MDDs

•  $\Rightarrow$  New data structure is needed





# **Problems with Bounded Saturation**

Main problems with bounded saturation:

Bounded number of iterations **Truncating** the state space representation

#### New data structure:

Edge-valued decision diagrams (EDDs) MDD based data structure enriched with distance information





# Open questions before our work

- How can we *implement* a bounded state space exploration (BSSE) module?
  - In theory it needs *information about the state space*, but this is not available a priori.
- Can BSSE and a saturation based model checker work together?
- Is there an efficient way for using *exact bounds*?
- How can we use this method for model checking in practice?





# Truncating methods

Two approach:

#### **Exact truncating**

exact k-bound

- less efficient originally
- in our work:
   competitive
   (using caches)

#### **Approximate truncating**

- only k..k·C-bound
   (C: number of components)
- more efficient (as stated earlier)





## Iterative BMC

- The necessary k-bound is not known a priori
- We use an **iterative algorithm**:



Т



# Efficiency problems

- Classical saturation based MC may explore and check some unreachable states needlessly
  - The answer is correct but could be more efficient
  - Work in progress (constrained saturation)
- Incremental building of the state space
  - The algorithm restarts state space exploration from the initial state
  - Future work





#### **Measurements and conclusion**





#### Measurements

#### Scaling with depth of "error state"



#### Measurements

#### Scaling with depth of "error state"





#### Measurements

#### Scaling with increasing increments

Depth of bug: 128





# Conclusion

 We have *implemented* a bounded model checker in our tool



- Theoretical and practical improvements in the original bounded algorithm
- Saturation based bounded state space generation and classic MC could work together
- Efficient for "shallow bugs"
- Efficiency is highly depending on the chosen parameters





#### Thank you for your attention!



